

1 **GRIFFITH & THORNBURGH, LLP**
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
2 8 EAST FIGUEROA STREET, SUITE 300
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101-2762
3 TELEPHONE: 805-965-5131
TELECOPIER: 805-965-6751

4 Craig Price, Bar No. 51361 price@g-tlaw.com

5 Joseph M. Sholder, Bar No. 126347 sholder@g-tlaw.com

6 Attorneys for Defendant Santa Barbara Unified School District

7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10
11 **FAIR EDUCATION SANTA**
12 **BARBARA, INC., a 501(c)(3)**
organization,

13 **Plaintiff,**

14 **vs.**

15 **SANTA BARBARA UNIFIED**
16 **SCHOOL DISTRICT, a public school**
17 **district; and JUST COMMUNITIES**
CENTRAL COAST, INC., a 501(c)(3)
organization,

18 **Defendants.**

CASE NO.: 2:18-cv-10253-SVW-
(PLAx)

ANSWER OF THE SANTA
BARBARA UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT TO COMPLAINT FOR
DECLARATORY AND
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

19
20 Defendant Santa Barbara Unified School District (SBUSD) answers the
21 complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief of Fair Education Santa Barbara,
22 Inc. as follows:

- 23 1. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 1 of the complaint.
- 24 2. SBUSD admits that the complaint seeks a declaratory judgment and
25 an injunction but denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 2 of the complaint.
- 26 3. SBUSD admits that the chart on page 2 of the complaint is included in
27 the Just Communities of the Central Coast Inc.'s materials, but denies the
28 allegations of paragraph 3 of the complaint.

1 4. SBUSD admits that it has paid more than \$1,000,000 to JCCC since
2 2013 and that entered into a contract with JCCC on or about October 9, 2018, for a
3 total cost of \$294,430, over half of which has already been paid, but denies the
4 remaining allegations of paragraph 4 of the complaint.

5 5. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 5 of the complaint.

6 6. SBUSD denies that it did not obtain the required conflict of interest
7 statement from JCCC required by SBUSD Board Policy 3600. SBUSD denies the
8 remaining allegations of paragraph 6 of the complaint.

9 7. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 7 of the complaint.

10 8. Paragraph 8 of the complaint does not allege facts which SBUSD
11 must admit or deny.

12 9. Paragraph 9 of the complaint does not allege facts which SBUSD
13 must admit or deny.

14 10. SBUSD admits the allegations of paragraph 10 of the complaint.

15 11. SBUSD lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations
16 of paragraph 11 of the complaint and on that basis denies those allegations.

17 12. SBUSD lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations
18 of paragraph 12 of the complaint and on that basis denies those allegations.

19 13. SBUSD admits the allegations of paragraph 13 of the complaint.

20 14. SBUSD admits it has been the recipient of state and federal funds.
21 SBUSD lacks sufficient information to admit or deny whether it receives tax funds
22 from members of Fair Communities. SBUSD denies the remaining allegations of
23 paragraph 14.

24 15. SBUSD admits the first two sentences of paragraph 15, but denies the
25 remaining allegations of paragraph 15 of the complaint.

26 16. SBUSD admits the allegations of paragraph 16 of the complaint.

27 17. SBUSD admits the allegations of paragraph 17 of the complaint.

28 18. SBUSD denies the first sentence of paragraph 18 of the complaint.

1 SBUSD admits the second sentence of paragraph 18 of the complaint. SBUSD
 2 admits that it has paid JCCC \$1,152,636.15 since 2014 to provide its programs to
 3 staff and students on a voluntary basis. SBUSD admits it entered into a new
 4 contract with JCCC on or about October 9, 2018, for the 2018-2019 school year to
 5 develop culturally proficient and equitable school communities to, among things,
 6 closing the educational achievement gap for a price of \$294,430, over half of
 7 which has already been paid. SBUSD admits that a true and correct copy of the
 8 JCCC contract is attached to the complaint. SBUSD denies the remaining
 9 allegations of paragraph 18 of the complaint.

10 19. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 19 of the complaint.

11 20. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 20 of the complaint.

12 21. SBUSD admits that Plaintiff selectively quoted from the materials
 13 attached as Exhibit B to the complaint.

14 22. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 22 of the complaint.

15 23. SBUSD denies the first sentence of paragraph 23 of the complaint.
 16 SBUSD lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations of
 17 paragraph 23 of the complaint and on that basis denies those allegations.

18 24. SBUSD admits that Plaintiff selectively cites from the materials
 19 attached as Exhibit B to the complaint, which were adapted from the Applied
 20 Research Center, a national racial justice think tank.

21 25. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 25 of the complaint.

22 26. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 26 of the complaint.

23 27. SBUSD denies the allegations of the first sentence of paragraph 27 of
 24 the complaint. SBUSD admits that all manner of racist graffiti, denigrating various
 25 racial and ethnic groups, has sporadically appeared at various of SBUSD's twenty-
 26 two school over the years, but denies that graffiti had anything to do with the
 27 JCCC program as implied by paragraph 27 of the complaint. SBUSD is informed
 28 and believes and on that basis responds that the graffiti at the Santa Barbara

1 Mission referenced in paragraph 27 of the complaint related to the Spanish
2 conquest of the indigenous peoples and did not mention SBUSD, JCCC, or the
3 JCCC program or contain any anti-white slogans.

4 28. SBUSD admits that a draft MOU was submitted to the SBUSD board
5 at the September 11, 2018, board meeting, but that the board took no action at that
6 meeting.

7 29. SBUSD admits that at the September 11, 2018, board meeting
8 Plaintiff's lawyer alone, but no parents or teachers, raised any concerns about the
9 JCCC MOU described in paragraph 29 and that the JCCC MOU was tabled for
10 further consideration to another meeting. SBUSD denies the remaining
11 allegations of paragraph 29 of the complaint.

12 30. SBUSD admits that at the October 9, 2018, board meeting,
13 approximately three persons, including Plaintiff's counsel and no one who
14 identified themselves as a teacher, requested that SBUSD publish the JCCC
15 copyrighted materials; that SBUSD did not publish those materials; that a demand
16 was made by Plaintiff's counsel, one other person, and perhaps one other person,
17 that the JCCC contract be submitted for public bidding and that the JCCC contract
18 was not publicly bid. SBUSD denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 30 of
19 the complaint.

20 31. SBUSD admits that a renewed one-year contract with JCCC for
21 \$294,430 was approved by the SBUSD board at the October 9, 2018, meeting, and
22 that that contract has been partially consummated.

23 32. SBUSD admits the allegations of paragraph 32 of the complaint.

24 **COUNT 1**

25 **Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000d et. seq.**

26 33. SBUSD incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 to 32
27 of the complaint.

28 34. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 34 of the complaint.

38. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 38 of the complaint.

Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000d et. seq.

43. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 43 of the complaint.

Violation of California Government Code § 11135

50. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 50 of the complaint.

Violation of California Education Code § 220

51. SBUSD incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 to 51

1 of the complaint.

2 52. Paragraph 52 of the complaint states a legal conclusion not a factual
3 allegation that SBUSD must admit or deny.

4 53. SBUSD admits the allegations of paragraph 53 of the complaint.

5 54. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 54 of the complaint.

6 55. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 55 of the complaint.

7 56. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 56 of the complaint.

8 57. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 57 of the complaint.

9 **COUNT V**

10 **Violation of California Education Code § 60044**

11 58. SBUSD incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 to 58
12 of the complaint.

13 59. Paragraph 59 of the complaint states a legal conclusion not a factual
14 allegation that SBUSD must admit or deny.

15 60. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 60 of the complaint.

16 61. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 61 of the complaint.

17 62. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 62 of the complaint.

18 **COUNT VI**

19 **Violation of California Public Contracts Code § 20111**

20 63. SBUSD incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 to 62
21 of the complaint.

22 64. Paragraph 64 of the complaint states a legal conclusion not a factual
23 allegation that SBUSD must admit or deny.

24 65. Paragraph 65 of the complaint states a legal conclusion not a factual
25 allegation that SBUSD must admit or deny.

26 66. SBUSD admits it entered into a renewed contract with JCCC on or
27 about October 9, 2018, for the 2018-2019 school year to develop culturally
28 proficient and equitable school communities to, among things, close the

1 educational achievement gap for a price of \$294,430.

2 67. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 67 of the complaint.

3 68. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 68 of the complaint.

4 69. SBUSD admits that the JCCC contract wasn't publicly bid and that
5 the contract was unanimously approved by SBUSD's board. SBUSD denies the
6 remaining allegation of paragraph 69 of the complaint.

7 70. SBUSD denies that there are any real, actual or potential conflicts of
8 interest because of the alleged relationships described in paragraphs 70.a through
9 70.h of the complaint.

10 71. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 71 of the complaint.

11 72. SBUSD denies the allegations of paragraph 72 of the complaint.

12 **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

13 1. The complaint and each count therein fail to state a cause of action
14 upon which relief may be granted.

15 2. Plaintiff lacks standing to bring the claims stated in the complaint.

16 3. This court lacks supplemental jurisdiction over Counts II, III, IV, V,
17 and VI of the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

18 4. This court should exercise its discretion to decline to exercise
19 supplemental jurisdiction over Counts II, III, IV, V, and VI of the complaint
20 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c).

21 5. All actions taken by SBUSD's board of education complained of in
22 the complaint are lawful under the broad authority granted to the board by
23 California Education Code § 35160.

24 6. All the counts of the complaint are barred by the statute of limitations.

25 7. All the counts of the complaint are barred by the doctrine of laches.

26 8. SBUSD was authorized to enter into the renewed JCCC contract
27 without competitive bidding under California law because JCCC provides SBUSD
28 with professional under California Public Contracts Code § 20111(d) or special

1 services under California Government Code § 53060.

2 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

3 WHEREFORE, SBUSD respectfully prays for the following relief:

- 4 1. That judgment be entered for SBUSD and against Plaintiff on all
5 Plaintiff's claims for relief.
- 6 2. For an award of attorney's fees and costs.
- 7 3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.
- 8

9 Dated: January 4, 2019

GRIFFITH & THORNBURGH, LLP

10
11 By: /s/ Joseph M. Sholder
12 Attorneys for Defendant Santa
13 Barbara Unified School District
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

I am employed by the law firm of GRIFFITH & THORNBURGH, LLP in the county of Santa Barbara, state of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 8 East Figueroa St., #300, Santa Barbara, California 93101. My email address is downs@g-tlaw.com.

On Jan. 4, 2019, I served the foregoing document described as **SANTA BARBARA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT** on the parties and/or interested persons in this action as follows:

[X] BY ELECTRONIC MAIL SERVICE

I caused the above document(s) to be electronically mailed through the ECF System of the United States District Court to the recipients as follows:

Attorneys for Plaintiff: eearly@earlysullivan.com; pscott@earlysullivan.com; ecfnoficing@earlysullivan.com; evillarreal@earlysullivan.com; esilverman@earlysullivan.com

[X] BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I deposited such envelope in a facility regularly maintained by FedEx with delivery fees fully provided for or delivered the envelope to a courier or driver of FedEx authorized to receive documents at 8 East Figueroa Street, Suite 300, Santa Barbara, California 93101-2762 with delivery fees fully provided for, to the following:

Judge Stephen V. Wilson-Mandatory Chamber's Copy
United States District Court, Central District of California
First Street Courthouse, 350 W. 1st Street, 10th Floor, Courtroom 10A
Los Angeles, California 90012

[X] BY MAIL: The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Santa Barbara, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after service of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Defendant Just Communities Central Coast, Inc.
Sarah E. Piepmeier, PC
Kirkland & Ellis, LLP
555 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94104

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on Jan. 4, 2019, at Santa Barbara, California.

_____/s/ Evelyn R. Downs_____
Evelyn R. Downs